LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Superficial Temporal Artery Pseudoaneurysm Following Trigeminal Nerve Stimulator Placement

To the Editor:
First described by Thomas Bartholin in 1740, pseudoaneurysms of the superficial temporal artery (STA) are rare vascular lesions that present as a pulsatile mass in the fronto-temporal region (1–3). Although typically due to blunt or penetrating trauma, cases secondary to iatrogenic manipulation have been reported (4–10). Here we report the first case of STA pseudoaneurysm formation secondary to trigeminal nerve stimulator electrode placement. The utility of direct surgical excision without removal of the offending electrode is demonstrated.

CASE REPORT
Presentation and clinical evaluation
The patient is a 93-year-old man with a history of herpes zoster infection in the distribution of the left ophthalmic and maxillary divisions of the trigeminal nerve. His recovery was complicated by postherpetic neuralgia refractory to conservative therapy. Supraorbital and temporal electrodes were subsequently placed to effect trigeminal peripheral nerve stimulation with good results (Fig. 1).

Six weeks after electrode placement, the patient complained of a painless, pulsatile swelling on his left lateral forehead. On physical examination, the mass was associated with the distal tip of the temporal electrode. Ultrasound of the region revealed a 6 × 8 mm pulsatile mass with blood flow presumed to be a pseudoaneurysm.

Surgery and pathology
Surgical exploration revealed the pseudoaneurysm arising from the left frontal branch of the superficial temporal artery (Fig. 2). The afferent and efferent limbs of the STA were ligated and cut. Circumferential dissection of the pseudoaneurysm enabled complete excision. The sample was sent for pathologic analysis, which confirmed the clinical diagnosis (Fig. 3). The patient was discharged on the same day without further complication.

DISCUSSION
STA aneurysms are uncommon, typically resulting from trauma to the temporal region. Iatrogenic injury of the STA following parotid gland surgery, hair grafting, external ventricular drain placement, craniotomy, head-pin fixation, and scalp injection have also been reported (2,3,10,11,12). However, we are not aware of a prior report implicating trigeminal nerve stimulator electrode placement as an etiology of STA pseudoaneurysm.

The STA is a terminal branch of the external carotid artery and supplies the lateral portion of the scalp. It arises near the superior border of the parotid gland and ascends anterior to the external auditory meatus. More superiorly, it typically divides into frontal and parietal branches. The frontal branch of the STA is particularly susceptible to blunt trauma in the fronto-temporal region just beyond the temporalis muscle where it lies, uncushioned, directly on periosteum (4,5,10,13). More pertinent to this case, the STA is tethered by its adventitia to the temporalis and the frontalis muscles, making...
it relatively immobile. Thus, any incidental movement of the temporal electrode after implantation would pierce rather than deflect the STA, resulting in pseudoaneurysm formation. Alternatively, tunneling of the electrode may have primarily violated the arterial wall.

A focused history and physical examination is usually sufficient to diagnose an STA pseudoaneurysm. In cases of blunt trauma, patients usually present two to six weeks after the initial injury (14). As in our case, the pulsatile mass may be painless but sharp pain or tenderness is sometimes reported (5,10,11). A bruit may be auscultated and digital compression may lead to cessation of the pulsations (13).

While catheter angiography is the gold standard imaging modality for diagnosing STA pseudoaneurysms, this invasive modality is typically unnecessary unless there is clinical suspicion for alternative vascular lesions such as arteriovenous fistula. Computed tomographic angiography or even ultrasound, as used in this case, will usually suffice.

Treatment options for STA pseudoaneurysm include compressive therapy, thrombin injection, endovascular embolization, or surgical excision (9,12,15,16). We favored surgical excision in this case, as it is a very low morbidity, outpatient procedure that offers a direct and immediate cure. Compressive therapy and thrombin injection have delayed responses or may not work, while endovascular occlusion carries a theoretical risk of recurrence and does not immediately address cosmetic deformity due to mass effect.

**CONCLUSION**

STA pseudoaneurysm may be a rare complication of trigeminal nerve stimulator electrode placement. Surgical resection is the definitive, immediate cure for this lesion. In patients such as this, cure may be effected without peripheral nerve stimulator electrode removal.
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